

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/689,444 10/12/2000 | | Mina Farr | 17516-007520 | 7384 | |
| 20350 7. | 590 10/01/2002 | | | | |
| | AND TOWNSEND | EXAMINER | | | |
| EIGHTH FLO | | | MULCAHY, JOHN M | | |
| SAN FRANCIS | SCO, CA 94111-3834 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3739 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002 |) | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | _ | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---------------|--|--|
| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | ን ቫ | 09/689,444 | | FARR ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examin r | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | John M. Mul | • | 3739 | | | |
| Period fo | - Th MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the c | over she t with t | the correspondence addr | ess | | |
| | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE | DIVISSET TO | EXPIRE 1 MON | ITH(S) FROM | | | |
| THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r earne | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the mid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, I. I reply within the statuto riod will apply and will e latute, cause the applica | , however, may a reply ry minimum of thirty (3 expire SIX (6) MONTHS ation to become ABANI | be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication. | | |
| Status | m · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | 6 1 | | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | • | This action is no | | | ita ia | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un | lowance except t der <i>Ex parte Qua</i> | ior formal mattel avle. 1935 C.D. | rs, prosecution as to the | ments is | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | 20. 2 . 7 | , | , | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the applica | ation. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | drawn from cons | sideration. | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and | l/or election requ | irement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | The specification is objected to by the Exan | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a | | | | | | |
| _ | Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | _ | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | approved by the Examine | <i>.</i> | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| • | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| • | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo | reign priority und | ler 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) | □ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docur | | | | N | | |
| * | Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a | al Bureau (PCT F | Rule 17.2(a)). | | xage | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don | | | | application). | | |
| , <u> </u> | a) The translation of the foreign language | | | | | | |
| 15)⊠ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor | | | | | | |
| Attachme | | | 4) Interview St | ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(| s), . | | |
| | ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944 | 8) | | formal Patent Application (PTC | | | |
| | The second section of the second | | · / . | | | | |
| | | 4.5 | | | • | | |

1773 7 4

Application/Control Number: 09/689,444

Art Unit: 3739

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13, drawn to an endoscope, classified in class 600, subclass
 138.
 - II. Claims 14-23, drawn to a method of manipulating an image captured by a stereoscopic endoscope, classified in class 359, subclass 462.
 - III. Claims 24-34, drawn to a stereoscopic endoscope, classified in class 600, subclass 166.
 - IV. Claims 35-42, drawn to a method of manipulating an image, classified in class 359, subclass 434.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- a. Inventions I and III are related to Invention II as products and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, e.g., a fiberscope.
- b. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, Invention I has separate utility as a monocular endoscope. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,444

Art Unit: 3739

c. Inventions I and III are related to Invention IV as products and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, e.g., a monocular endoscope not having the claimed characteristics of Invention I.

- d. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, Invention IV has separate utility outside of the field of stereo endoscopy. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for any one Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Each of Figs. 15-18 represents a distinct species.

Page 3

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,444

Art Unit: 3739

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Mulcahy whose telephone number is (703) 308-

3134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. M. Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0873.

John Mulcahy

September 29, 2002

John M. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739 Page 5